Noname manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

Invariance of Lyapunov exponents and Lyapunov dimension for regular and irregular linearizations

N.V. Kuznetsov · T.A. Alexeeva · G.A. Leonov

arXiv:1410.2016v3 [nlin.CD] 16 Feb 2016

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract Nowadays the Lyapunov exponents and Lyapunov dimension have become so widespread and common that they are often used without references to the rigorous definitions or pioneering works. It may lead to a confusion since there are at least two well-known definitions, which are used in computations: the upper bounds of the exponential growth rate of the norms of linearized system solutions (*Lyapunov characteristic exponents*, LCEs) and the upper bounds of the exponential growth rate of the singular values of the fundamental matrix of linearized system (*Lyapunov exponents*, LEs). In this work the relation between Lyapunov exponents and Lyapunov characteristic exponents is discussed. The invariance of Lyapunov exponents for regular and irregular linearizations under the change of coordinates is demonstrated.

Keywords Lyapunov exponent, Lyapunov characteristic exponent, Lyapunov dimension of attractor, time-varying linearization, regular and irregular linearization, diffeomorphism.

N.V. Kuznetsov
Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia
Department of Mathematical Information Technology, University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
E-mail: nkuznetsov239@gmail.com

T.A. Alexeeva
National Research University Higher School of Economics, Russia

G.A. Leonov
Saint-Petersburg State University, Russia
Institute of Problems of Mechanical Engineering RAS, Russia

1 Introduction

Consider a continuous autonomous system

$$\dot{z} = F(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{1}$$

where F is a sufficiently smooth vector-function. Suppose $z(t, x_0)$ is a solution of system (1) with the initial data $x_0 = z(0, x_0)$ uniformly bounded for $t \in [0, +\infty)$. Consider the linearization of system (1) along the solution $z(t, x_0)$:

$$\dot{x} = J(t, x_0)x, \quad t \in [0, +\infty), \tag{2}$$

where $J(t, x_0) = \{\partial F_i(z)/\partial z_j\}|_{z=z(t,x_0)}$ is $(n \times n)$ Jacobi matrix.

Consider a fundamental matrix

$$X(t, x_0) = \big(x_1(t, x_0), ..., x_n(t, x_0)\big),$$

which consists of the linearly independent solutions $\{x_i(t, x_0)\}_1^n$ of linearized system (2). The fundamental matrix is often assumed to satisfy the following condition: $X(0, x_0) = I_n$, where I_n is a unit $(n \times n)$-matrix.

For time-varying linearization of nonlinear systems, A.M. Lyapunov introduced the so-called Lyapunov characteristic exponents (LCEs) as the upper bounds of the exponential growth rates of solutions [42].

Definition 1 [42][1]. The Lyapunov characteristic exponents (LCEs) of matrix $X(t, x_0)$ are defined as

$$\mathrm{LCE}_i(x_0) = \limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \ln |x_i(t, x_0)|, \quad i = 1, .., n.$$

[1] In [42] these values are defined with the opposite sign and called *characteristic exponents*.

For a scalar function $f(t,x_0)\neq 0$ and $t>0$ we introduce $\mathcal{X}(f(t,x_0))=\frac{1}{t}\ln|f(t,x_0)|$. Then

$$\mathcal{X}(|x_i(t,x_0)||x_j(t,x_0)|)=\mathcal{X}(|x_i(t,x_0)|)+\mathcal{X}(|x_j(t,x_0)|) \tag{3}$$

and $\mathrm{LCE}_i(t,x_0)=\mathcal{X}(x_i(t,x_0))$.

LCEs (often named the Lyapunov exponents or Lyapunov exponents of fundamental matrix columns) are used for the study of the existence of chaotic behavior in the theory of chaos and the computation of dimension of chaotic attractors. For invariant compact set of trajectories, various coverages and their change along trajectories can be considered in computing dimensions (see, e.g. [6]). If it is considered a coverage of attractor by small hypercubes (see, e.g. survey [17]), then LCEs characterize the exponential growth rates of hypercube's edges lengths under the linearized map (a unit hypercube is transformed by the fundamental matrix to a parallelotope, the edges of which are the columns $x_i(t,x_0)$ of fundamental matrix, and the volume is equal to $|\det X(t,x_0)|$).

Often in the dimension theory it is considered a coverage by balls (see, e.g. definitions of the Hausdorff and fractal dimensions). Here a unit ball B is transformed into the ellipsoid $X(t,x_0)B$ and the exponential growth rates of its principal semiaxes lengths is considered. The principal semiaxes of the ellipsoid $X(t,x_0)B$ are coincides with the singular values of matrix $X(t,x_0)$: $\sigma_i(t,x_0)=\sigma_i(X(t,x_0))$, which are defined as the square roots of the eigenvalues of matrix $X(t,x_0)^*X(t,x_0)$. The exponential growth rates of the singular values are considered, e.g. in [46].

Definition 2 The Lyapunov exponents (LEs) of matrix $X(t,x_0)$ are defined as

$$\mathrm{LE}_i(x_0)=\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\mathcal{X}(\sigma_i(t,x_0)),\quad i=1,..,n.$$

In contrast to the stability theory, where it is important to know only the largest LCE or LE, in the chaos theory it is important to know all their possible values. Therefore it is natural to consider the ordered sets LCEs and LEs. For this purpose, considering the decreasing sequences

$$\mathrm{LCE}_i(t',x_0)=\mathrm{LCE}_i(X(t',x_0))=\mathcal{X}(x_i(t',x_0))$$

$$\mathrm{LE}_i(t',x_0)=\mathrm{LE}_i(X(t',x_0))=\mathcal{X}(\sigma_i(t',x_0))$$

for each $t=t'$ (called finite time LEs and LCEs, respectively), one obtains the ordered (for all considered t) sets of functions

$$\begin{matrix}\mathrm{LCE}_1^o(t,x_0)\geq\mathrm{LCE}_2^o(t,x_0)\geq ...\geq\mathrm{LCE}_n^o(t,x_0),\\ \mathrm{LE}_1^o(t,x_0)\geq\mathrm{LE}_2^o(t,x_0)\geq ...\geq\mathrm{LE}_n^o(t,x_0),\end{matrix}\quad\forall t.$$

Using, e.g, Courant-Fischer theorem [20], it is possible to show that the ordered LCE^{o}s majorize the ordered LE^{o}s: $\mathrm{LE}_i^o(t,x_0)\leq\mathrm{LCE}_i^o(t,x_0)$[2] and the largest LCE^o is equal to the largest LE^o: $\mathrm{LCE}_1^o(t,x_0)=\mathrm{LE}_1^o(t,x_0)$. For the sums of exponents the above fact has a simple geometric sense: the volume of n-dimensional parallelotope (n-dimensional parallelepiped) is less or equal to the volume of n-dimensional rectangular parallelotope with the same lengths of edges: $|\det(X(t,x_0))|\leq|x_1(t,x_0)|\cdots|x_n(t,x_0)|$. Therefore we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n\mathcal{X}(|\sigma_i(t,x_0)|)=\mathcal{X}(|\det(X(t,x_0))|)\leq$$

$$\leq\mathcal{X}(|x_1(t,x_0)|\cdots|x_n(t,x_0)|)=\sum_{i=1}^n\mathcal{X}(|x_i(t,x_0)|).$$

If in the above definitions the limits exist (i.e. $\limsup_{t\to+\infty}...$ is equal to $\liminf_{t\to+\infty}...$ is equal to $\lim_{t\to+\infty}...$), then, obviously, it is sufficient to order the limit values $\mathrm{LCE}_i(x_0)$ and $\mathrm{LE}_i(x_0)$.

For a given point x_0 there is the essential question on the existence of $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\mathrm{LCE}_i^o(t,x_0)$ and $\lim_{t\to+\infty}\mathrm{LE}_i^o(t,x_0)$. In order to get rigorously the positive answer to these questions, from a theoretical point of view, one may use ergodic properties of the system: according to the Oseledec theorem [46], the limit exist for x_0 from a subset, which is the support of an ergodic measure. However, from a practical point of view, the rigorous use of the above results is a challenging task (e.g. even for the well-studied Lorenz system) and hardly can be done effectively in the general case, see, e.g. the corresponding discussions in [5],[9, p.118]),[48],[61]).

From a computational perspective, the essential justification of the existence of exact values of LCEs and LEs in numerical experiments may be the following: in the calculations with finite precision any bounded pseudo-trajectory $\widetilde{x}(t,x_0)$ has a point of self-intersection: $\exists t_1,t_2:\widetilde{x}(t_1,x_0)=\widetilde{x}(t_1+t_2,x_0)$. Then for sufficiently large $t\geq t_1$ the trajectory $\widetilde{x}(t,x_0)$ may be regarded as the periodic one. From a theoretical point of view, this fact relies on the shadowing theory, the closing lemma and its various generalizations (see, e.g. the surveys [43, 51,19,53]).

Lyapunov [42] considered linearizations with bounded coefficients and introduced a special class of *regular*

[2] For example, for the fundamental matrix $X(t)=\begin{pmatrix}1 & g(t)-g^{-1}(t)\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}$ we have the following ordered values: $\mathrm{LCE}_1^o=\max\big(\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\mathcal{X}(g(t)),\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\mathcal{X}(g^{-1}(t))\big),\mathrm{LCE}_2^o=0$; $\mathrm{LE}_{1,2}^o=\max,\min\big(\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\mathcal{X}(g(t)),\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\mathcal{X}(g^{-1}(t))\big)$. Remark that here $\mathcal{X}$ of the diagonal elements of $X(t)$ do not coincide with LCEs and LEs.

linearizations: for regular linearization the sum of LCEs equals to the lower bound of the exponential growth rate of fundamental matrix determinant (otherwise, the linearization is called irregular). For example, the linearizations with constant and periodic coefficients are regular [42]. Any regular linearization has exact LCEs (i.e. the $\lim_{t\to+\infty}$ exists in the definition). Note that, in general, the existence of exact LCEs does not imply the regularity of linearization (see, e.g., [7,3,39]). For regular linearizations the sign of the largest LCE defines stability/instability of nonlinear system in a neighborhood of the considered solution (see, e.g. theorems on stability by the first approximation in the sense of Lyapunov and on instability in the sense of Krasovsky and their various generalizations in survey [39]). In the general case the sign of the largest LCE does not guarantee the stability or instability (and, therefore, the positive largest LCE may not guarantee chaos) since there are known the Perron effects of the largest LCE sign reversal for irregular linearizations [28,39,29].

Note that there are various essential generalizations of LCEs or LEs (see, e.g. [7,49,8,23,10]). See also some recent papers on LEs application [11,55,41,44]. However LCEs and LEs themselves are used because of their geometric meaning.

For numerical computation of LCEs and LEs there are developed various continuous and discrete algorithms based on QR and SVD decompositions of fundamental matrix. However such algorithms may not work well in the case of coincidence or closeness of two or more LCE_i or LE_i and in the case of irregular linearizations. Also it is important to remark that numerical computation of LCEs and LEs can be done only for a finite time $t = T$ (i.e. there are computed $\mathrm{LCE}_i^o(T, x_0)$ and $\mathrm{LE}_i^o(T, x_0)$), justification of the choice of which is usually omitted, while it is known that in such computations unexpected "jumps" can occur (see e.g. [9, p.116, Fig.6.3]). Various methods (see, e.g. [60,52,1,18]) are also developed for the estimation of LEs from time series. However there are known examples in which the results of such computations differ substantially from the analytical results [59,2]. In [54] it is noted that these examples call into serious question whether the Lyapunov exponents for time series data give any sort of meaningful quantitative measurement of the original system.

The existence of different definitions of exponential growth rate, computational methods, and related assumptions led to the appeal: *"Whatever you call your exponents, please state clearly how are they being computed"* [9].

2 Lyapunov dimension

Various characteristics of chaotic behavior are based on LCEs and LEs. The sum of positive exponents is used [45,49] as the characteristic of Kolmogorov-Sinai entropy rate [25,56]. Another measure of chaotic behavior is the Lyapunov dimension [24,31,8,15,21].

Introduce the Kaplan-Yorke formula [24] of the local Lyapunov dimension with respect to the ordered set $\{\mathrm{LE}_i^o(t, x_0)\}_1^n$ of the finite time Lyapunov exponents. For that, we define the largest integer $j = j(t, x_0) \in \{1, .., n-1\}$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j(t,x_0)} \mathrm{LE}_i^o(t, x_0) \geq 0, \frac{\mathrm{LE}_1^o(t,x_0)+\ldots+\mathrm{LE}_{j(t,x_0)}^o(t,x_0)}{|\,\mathrm{LE}_{j(t,x_0)+1}^o(t,x_0)|} < 1.$$

The function $d_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{KY}}(t, x_0) = 0$ if $\mathrm{LE}_1^o(t, x_0) < 0$ and $d_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{KY}}(t, x_0) = n$ if $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathrm{LE}_i^o(t, x_0) \geq 0$, otherwise

$$d_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{KY}}(t, x_0) = j(t, x_0) + \frac{\mathrm{LE}_1^o(t, x_0) + \ldots + \mathrm{LE}_{j(t,x_0)}^o(t, x_0)}{|\,\mathrm{LE}_{j(t,x_0)+1}^o(t, x_0)|}. \tag{4}$$

It is well known that the Lyapunov dimension is not a "real" dimension[3], however, for an attractor K the Kaplan-Yorke formula of the local Lyapunov dimension with respect to the finite time Lyapunov exponents gives an upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension (it follows from [14]):

$$\dim_{\mathrm{H}} K \leq \sup_{x\in K} d_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{KY}}(t, x).$$

While in numerical experiments we can consider only finite time t and (4), from a theoretical point of view, it is interesting to study the limit behavior and similarly introduce the Kaplan-Yorke formula with respect to the Lyapunov exponents: $d_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{KY}}(x_0) = d_{\mathrm{L}}^{\mathrm{KY}}\left(\{\mathrm{LE}_i^o(x_0)\}_1^n\right)$ (see, e.g. [8, p.33, eq.3.37],[15]).

The properties of Lyapunov dimension of attractors of dynamical systems are considered in details in the books [50,58,6] (see also the recent surveys [4,38]).

The idea of construction of (4) may be used with other types of Lyapunov exponents. Thus other definitions for the Lyapunov dimension can be used for the construction of (4), but care shall be taken to establish it relation with the Hausdorff dimension.

Relying on ergodicity, the Lyapunov dimension of attractor is often computed along one trajectory, which is attracted or belongs to the attractor. But, in general, one has to consider a grid of points on the attractor and the corresponding local Lyapunov dimensions [30].

[3] This is not a dimension in a rigorous sense (see, e.g. [22,26]); e.g., in [35, Fig. 7;p.1439] a local B-attractor, which includes equilibria and separatrices, has $\dim_L \approx 2.8$.

Note, for example, that the Lyapunov dimension of the global Lorenz attractor coincides with its value in the zero saddle point and is larger then the Lyapunov dimension of the classical local Lorenz attractor [15,13, 32,34].

Along with commonly used numerical methods for estimating and computing the Lyapunov dimension, there is an analytical approach, based on the direct Lyapunov method using Lyapunov-like functions, which was proposed by Leonov [36,32,40]. This method requires the consideration of various changes of variables. Thus, the question arises whether LEs and related characteristics are invariant under such changes (see, e.g., [47] *"Is the Dimension of Chaotic Attractors Invariant under Coordinate Changes?"* and [12,57]). Also for the correctness of definition of the Lyapunov dimension it is necessary to show that the definition is independent of the choice of fundamental matrix of linearized system.

For LCEs such analysis is due to Lyapunov: he introduced a notion of *normal fundamental matrix*, whose sum of LCEs is less or equal to the sum of LCEs of any other fundamental matrix. Since the columns with different LCEs are linearly independent, a linear system can have no more then n different values of LCEs. For any fundamental matrix $X(t,x_0)$ there exists a nonsingular linear transformation Q such that the fundamental matrix $X(t,x_0)Q$ is a normal fundamental matrix. Note that all fundamental matrices have the same largest LCE, which coincides with the largest LE. For regular linearizations the set of LCEs of normal fundamental matrix coincides with the set of LEs. But in the general case the set of LCEs of a normal fundamental matrix may not be equal to the set of LEs. Also Lyapunov showed that the so-called Lyapunov transformation $x = L(t)y$ (non-degenerate linear transformations $L(t) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $L(t), L^{-1}(t), \dot{L}(t)$ are bounded and continuous) of coordinates of linear systems (2) preserves LCEs of this linear system. In particular, a corollary of his consideration is that the diffeomorphism $y = D(z)$ of the phase space does not change LCEs of the bounded trajectories of nonlinear system (1) (see, e.g. recent discussion in [16]).

3 Invariance of LEs under the change of coordinates

Next it is rigorously shown that LEs of linearized system (2) are independent of the choice of fundamental matrix and invariant under diffeomorphism of the phase space.

Suppose that $X(t,x_0)$ is a fundamental matrix of linear system (2) and all its LEs are finite. Consider a nondegenerate matrix Q ($\det Q \neq 0$), and suppose $\widetilde{X}(t,x_0) = X(t,x_0)Q$.

Proposition 1

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\Big(\mathrm{LE}_i^o(X(t,x_0))-\mathrm{LE}_i^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))\Big)=0,\quad i=1,..,n.$$

Proof. Consider the sets of singular values of matrices $X(t,x_0)$, $\widetilde{X}(t,x_0)$, Q, and Q^{-1} in descending order (all singular values is strictly greater 0 since the matrices are nonsingular):

$$\sigma_1^o(Q)\geq ...\geq\sigma_n^o(Q)>0,\ \sigma_1^o(Q^{-1})\geq ...\geq\sigma_n^o(Q^{-1})>0,$$
$$\sigma_1^o(X(t,x_0))\geq ...\geq\sigma_n^o(X(t,x_0))>0,$$
$$\sigma_1^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))\geq ...\geq\sigma_n^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))>0.$$

By the Horn inequality for singular values (see [20]) for $\widetilde{X}(t,x_0)=X(t,x_0)Q$ and $X(t,x_0)=\widetilde{X}(t,x_0)Q^{-1}$ one obtains

$$0<\prod_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))\leq\prod_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^o(X(t,x_0))\sigma_i^o(Q),$$
$$0<\prod_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^o(X(t,x_0))\leq\prod_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))\sigma_i^o(Q^{-1})$$
$$k=1,..,n.$$

Hence

$$0<\prod_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))\leq\prod_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^o(X(t,x_0))\sigma_i^o(Q)\leq$$
$$\leq\prod_{i=1}^k\sigma_i^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))\sigma_i^o(Q^{-1})\sigma_i^o(Q)\quad k=1,..,n$$

and by (3)

$$-\infty<-\sum_{i=1}^k\mathrm{LE}_i^o(Q)\leq$$
$$\leq\sum_{i=1}^k\mathrm{LE}_i^o(X(t,x_0))-\sum_{i=1}^k\mathrm{LE}_i^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))\leq$$
$$\leq\sum_{i=1}^k\mathrm{LE}_i^o(Q^{-1})\quad k=1,..,n.$$

It can be found a constant $c>0$ such that

$$-\frac{c}{t}<-\sum_{i=1}^k\mathrm{LE}_i^o(Q),\quad\sum_{i=1}^k\mathrm{LE}_i^o(Q^{-1})<\frac{c}{t}\quad k=1,..,n. \tag{5}$$

Then

$$-\frac{c}{t}<\sum_{i=1}^k\mathrm{LE}_i^o(X(t,x_0))-\sum_{i=1}^k\mathrm{LE}_i^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))<\frac{c}{t},$$
$$k=1,..,n.$$

Since, by assumption, LEs of matrices $X(t,x_0)$ are finite, LEs of the matrix $\widetilde{X}(t,x_0)$ are also finite. Finally, one has

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\big(\mathrm{LE}_i^o(X(t,x_0))-\mathrm{LE}_i^o(\widetilde{X}(t,x_0))\big)=0 \quad i=1,..,n.$$

■

From the above consideration it follows that the proposition is valid also for the matrix $\widetilde{X}(t,x_0)=QX(t,x_0)$.

Consider nonlinear system (1) under the change of coordinates $y=D(z)$, where D is a diffeomorphism. Under the change of coordinates the trajectory $z(t,x_0)$ is mapped to the bounded trajectory $y(t,D(x_0))=D(z(t,x_0))$. Then the corresponding fundamental matrix is $W(t,D(x_0))=D'_z(z(t,x_0))X(t,x_0)(D'_z(x_0))^{-1}$ (see, e.g. [16,37]). Since $z(t,x_0)$ is assumed to be bounded and D'_z and $(D'_z)^{-1}$ are continuous, $D'_z(z(t,x_0))$ and $(D'_z(z(t,x_0)))^{-1}$ are bounded in t and, therefore, an estimate similar to (5) occurs for their LEs.

Corollary 1

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty}\big(\mathrm{LE}_i^o(W(t,D(x_0)))-\mathrm{LE}_i^o(X(t,x_0))\big)=0,\ i=1,..,n.$$

Corollary 2 *The Kaplan-Yorke formula of the local Lyapunov dimension with respect to the Lyapunov exponents* $\{\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\mathrm{LE}_i^o(W(t,D(x_0)))\}_1^n$ *and* $\{\limsup_{t\to+\infty}\mathrm{LE}_i^o(X(t,x_0))\}_1^n$ *gives the same value.*

4 Conclusion

In the paper the invariance of Lyapunov exponents and the corresponding Lyapunov dimension under diffeomorphism of the phase space is shown. Similar results can be obtained for a discrete system $z(k+1)=F(z(k))$ (see, e.g. [29,39,27]). Note that various changes of coordinates are widely used nowadays for unified study of the classes of dynamical systems (see, e.g., a recent paper on differences and similarities in the analysis of the Lorenz, Chen, and Lu systems [40]) and in the analytical computation of the Lyapunov dimension [33].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Russian Scientific Foundation project 14-21-00041 and Saint-Petersburg State University.

References

1. Abarbanel, H., Brown, R., Sidorowich, J., Tsimring, L.: The analysis of observed chaotic data in physical systems. Reviews of Modern Physics **65**(4), 1331–1392 (1993)
2. Augustova, P., Beran, Z., Celikovsky, S.: ISCS 2014: Interdisciplinary Symposium on Complex Systems, Emergence, Complexity and Computation (Eds.: A. Sanayei et al.), chap. On Some False Chaos Indicators When Analyzing Sampled Data, pp. 249–258. Springer (2015)
3. Barabanov, E.: Singular exponents and properness criteria for linear differential systems. Differential Equations **41**, 151–162 (2005)
4. Barreira, L., Gelfert, K.: Dimension estimates in smooth dynamics: a survey of recent results. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **31**, 641–671 (2011)
5. Barreira, L., Schmeling, J.: Sets of "Non-typical" points have full topological entropy and full Hausdorff dimension. Israel Journal of Mathematics **116**(1), 29–70 (2000)
6. Boichenko, V.A., Leonov, G.A., Reitmann, V.: Dimension Theory for Ordinary Differential Equations. Teubner, Stuttgart (2005)
7. Bylov, B.E., Vinograd, R.E., Grobman, D.M., Nemytskii, V.V.: Theory of characteristic exponents and its applications to problems of stability. Nauka, Moscow (1966). (in Russian)
8. Constantin, P., Foias, C., Temam, R.: Attractors representing turbulent flows. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society **53**(314) (1985)
9. Cvitanović, P., Artuso, R., Mainieri, R., Tanner, G., Vattay, G.: Chaos: Classical and Quantum. Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen (2012). http://ChaosBook.org
10. Czornik, A., Nawrat, A., Niezabitowski, M.: Lyapunov exponents for discrete time-varying systems. Studies in Computational Intelligence **440**, 29–44 (2013)
11. Deroin, B., Dujardin, R.: Lyapunov exponents for surface group representations. Communications in Mathematical Physics **340**(2), 433–469 (2015)
12. Dettmann, C., Frankel, N., Cornish, N.: Chaos and fractals around black holes. Fractals **03**(01), 161–181 (1995)
13. Doering, C.R., Gibbon, J.: On the shape and dimension of the Lorenz attractor. Dynamics and Stability of Systems **10**(3), 255–268 (1995)
14. Douady, A., Oesterle, J.: Dimension de Hausdorff des attracteurs. C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A. **290**(24), 1135–1138 (1980)
15. Eden, A., Foias, C., Temam, R.: Local and global Lyapunov exponents. Journal of Dynamics and Differential Equations **3**(1), 133–177 (1991). (Preprint No. 8804, The Institute for Applied Mathematics and Scientific Computing, Indiana University, 1988)
16. Eichhorn, R., Linz, S., Hanggi, P.: Transformation invariance of Lyapunov exponents. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals **12**(8), 1377 – 1383 (2001)
17. Grassberger, P., Procaccia, I.: Measuring the strangeness of strange attractors. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **9**(1-2), 189–208 (1983)
18. Hegger, R., Kantz, H., Schreiber, T.: Practical implementation of nonlinear time series methods: The TISEAN package. Chaos **9**, 413–435 (1999)
19. Hertz, J.: Some advances on generic properties of the Oseledets splitting. Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems- Series A **33**(9), 4323–4339 (2013)
20. Horn, R., Johnson, C.: Topics in Matrix Analysis. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1994)

21. Hunt, B.: Maximum local Lyapunov dimension bounds the box dimension of chaotic attractors. Nonlinearity **9**(4), 845–852 (1996)
22. Hurewicz, W., Wallman, H.: Dimension Theory. Princeton University Press, Princeton (1941)
23. Izobov, N.A.: Lyapunov exponents and stability. Cambridge Scientific Publischers, Cambridge (2012)
24. Kaplan, J.L., Yorke, J.A.: Chaotic behavior of multidimensional difference equations. In: Functional Differential Equations and Approximations of Fixed Points, pp. 204–227. Springer, Berlin (1979)
25. Kolmogorov, A.: On entropy per unit time as a metric invariant of automorphisms. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **124**(4), 754–755 (In Russian) (1959)
26. Kuratowski, K.: Topology. Academic press, New York (1966)
27. Kuznetsov, N.V.: Stability and Oscillations of Dynamical Systems: Theory and Applications. Jyvaskyla University Printing House (2008)
28. Kuznetsov, N.V., Leonov, G.A.: Counterexample of Perron in the discrete case. Izv. RAEN, Diff. Uravn. **5**, 71 (2001)
29. Kuznetsov, N.V., Leonov, G.A.: On stability by the first approximation for discrete systems. In: 2005 International Conference on Physics and Control, PhysCon 2005, vol. Proceedings Volume 2005, pp. 596–599. IEEE (2005).
30. Kuznetsov, N.V., Mokaev, T.N., Vasilyev, P.A.: Numerical justification of Leonov conjecture on Lyapunov dimension of Rossler attractor. Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simulat **19**, 1027–1034 (2014)
31. Ledrappier, F.: Some relations between dimension and Lyapounov exponents. Communications in Mathematical Physics **81**(2), 229–238 (1981)
32. Leonov, G.: Lyapunov dimension formulas for Henon and Lorenz attractors. St.Petersburg Mathematical Journal **13**(3), 453–464 (2002)
33. Leonov, G., Alexeeva, T., Kuznetsov, N.: Analytic exact upper bound for the Lyapunov dimension of the Shimizu-Morioka system. Entropy **17**(7), 5101 (2015).
34. Leonov, G., Kuznetsov, N., Korzhemanova, N., Kusakin, D.: The Lyapunov dimension formula for the global attractor of the Lorenz system. arXiv **http://arxiv.org/pdf/1508.07498v1.pdf** (2015)
35. Leonov, G., Kuznetsov, N., Mokaev, T.: Homoclinic orbits, and self-excited and hidden attractors in a Lorenz-like system describing convective fluid motion. Eur. Phys. J. Special Topics **224**(8), 1421–1458 (2015).
36. Leonov, G.A.: On estimations of Hausdorff dimension of attractors. Vestnik St. Petersburg University: Mathematics **24**(3), 38–41 (1991).
37. Leonov, G.A.: Strange attractors and classical stability theory. St.Petersburg University Press, St.Petersburg (2008)
38. Leonov, G.A.: Lyapunov functions in the attractors dimension theory. Journal of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics **76**(2), 129–141 (2012)
39. Leonov, G.A., Kuznetsov, N.V.: Time-varying linearization and the Perron effects. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos **17**(4), 1079–1107 (2007).
40. Leonov, G.A., Kuznetsov, N.V.: On differences and similarities in the analysis of Lorenz, Chen, and Lu systems. Applied Mathematics and Computation **256**, 334–343 (2015).
41. Lipnitskii, A.V.: Lower bounds for the upper Lyapunov exponent in one-parameter families of Millionshchikov systems. Journal of Mathematical Sciences **210**(2), 217–221 (2015)
42. Lyapunov, A.M.: The General Problem of the Stability of Motion. Kharkov (1892). (English transl. Academic Press, NY, 1966)
43. Mane, R.: Oseledec's theorem from the generic viewpoint. In: Proc. Internat. Congress of Mathematicians, vol. 1,2. PWN, Warsaw (1984)
44. Mierczynski, J., Shen, W.: Principal Lyapunov exponents and principal Floquet spaces of positive random dynamical systems. II. finite-dimensional systems. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **404**(2), 438 – 458 (2013)
45. Millionschikov, V.M.: A formula for the entropy of smooth dynamical systems. Differencial'nye Uravenija **12**(12), 2188–2192, 2300 (1976). (in Russian)
46. Oseledec, V.: Multiplicative ergodic theorem: Characteristic Lyapunov exponents of dynamical systems. In: Transactions of the Moscow Mathematical Society, vol. 19, pp. 179–210 (1968)
47. Ott, E., Withers, W., Yorke, J.: Is the dimension of chaotic attractors invariant under coordinate changes? Journal of Statistical Physics **36**(5-6), 687–697 (1984)
48. Ott, W., Yorke, J.: When Lyapunov exponents fail to exist. Phys. Rev. E **78**, 056,203 (2008)
49. Pesin, Y.: Characteristic Lyapunov exponents and smooth ergodic theory. Russian Mathematical Surveys **32**(4), 55–114 (1977)
50. Pesin, Y.B.: Dimension type characteristics for invariant sets of dynamical systems. In: Russian Mathematical Surveys, 43:4, pp. 111–151 (1988).
51. Pilyugin, S.: Theory of pseudo-orbit shadowing in dynamical systems. Differential Equations **47**(13), 1929–1938 (2011)
52. Rosenstein, M., Collins, J., De Luca, C.: A practical method for calculating largest Lyapunov exponents from small data sets. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **65**(1-2), 117–134 (1993)
53. Sambarino, M.: A (short) survey on dominated splitting. arXiv:1403.6050 (2014)
54. Sander, E., Yorke, J.A.: The many facets of chaos. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos **25**(04), 1530,011 (2015)
55. Shevchenko, I.: Lyapunov exponents in resonance multiplets. Physics Letters A **378**(1-2), 34 – 42 (2014)
56. Sinai, Y.: On the notion of entropy of dynamical systems. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **124**(4), 768–771 (In Russian) (1959)
57. Sprott, J., Hoover, W., Hoover, C.: Heat conduction, and the lack thereof, in time-reversible dynamical systems: Generalized Nosé-Hoover oscillators with a temperature gradient. Phys. Rev. E **89** (2014). art. num. 042914
58. Temam, R.: Infinite-dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, 2nd edn. Springer-Verlag, New York (1997)
59. Tempkin, J., Yorke, J.: Spurious Lyapunov exponents computed from data. SIAM Journal on Applied Dynamical Systems **6**(2), 457–474 (2007).
60. Wolf, A., Swift, J.B., Swinney, H.L., Vastano, J.A.: Determining Lyapunov exponents from a time series. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **16**(D), 285–317 (1985)
61. Young, L.S.: Mathematical theory of Lyapunov exponents. Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical **46**(25), 254,001 (2013)